

## RECONVENED BOARD OF APPEAL AND EQUALIZATION MEETING

Monday, April 27, 2020  
7:00 p.m.

Brooklyn Park Council Chambers  
Via Telephone  
5200 85th Avenue North

CALL TO ORDER – Chair Jeffrey Lunde

PRESENT: Chair Jeffrey Lunde

VIA TELEPHONE: Board Members Tonja West-Hafner, Susan Pha, Terry Parks, Mark Mata, Wynfred Russell and Lisa Jacobson; City Manager Jay Stroebel; City Attorney Jim Thomson; Finance Director LaTonia Green; City Assessor Tracy Bauer-Anderson, Assistant Hennepin County Assessor Tamara Doolittle, and City Clerk Devin Montero.

ABSENT: None.

### B. ASSESSORS REPORT

City Assessor Bauer-Anderson stated the Assessor's Report was provided to the Board Members and Chair on Thursday, April 23, 2020 for their review. They would have that for tonight's meeting to reference.

### C. PUBLIC PRESENTATIONS

City Assessor Bauer-Anderson stated she would be reading the Appeal Numbers, addresses, original value, and the value recommendations.

She stated they were going to start with four parcels where the property owner and the appraiser came to an agreement for the value recommendations. She would read them in as a group and they would be voted on as a group by the Board, like they did in the 10-day window agreements.

#### **APPEAL #12**

- 3017 80<sup>th</sup> Ave N., Original Value \$209,200 and the Assessor's recommendation to reduce to \$208,200.

#### **APPEAL #14**

- 7641 Aldrich Ave N., Original Value \$252,900 and the Assessor's recommendation to reduce to \$230,500.

#### **APPEAL #15**

- 9360 Newton Ave N., Original Value \$330,100 and the Assessor's recommendation to reduce to \$324,000.

**APPEAL #17**

- 7966 Lad Pkwy N., Original Value \$175,900 and the Assessor's recommendation to reduce to \$160,400.

She stated they all came to an Agreement between appraiser and homeowner and they could be voted on as one group. She stated the owners were not speaking tonight and the board could vote on it.

C.1 MOTION LUNDE, SECOND JACOBSON TO ACCEPT THE AGREED UPON AMOUNTS FOR ALL FOUR PROPERTIES AS STATED AND READ INTO THE REPORT BY THE CITY ASSESSOR.

Board Member Mata asked about Appeal #14 being reduced by almost \$23,000.

City Assessor Bauer-Anderson stated when a property was asked to be reviewed, the procedure this year was to have each homeowner answer some questions and provide documentation and/or photos to address their concerns. She stated they found that property had originally been in the valuation system as above normal and was reflective of normal conditions. She stated that upon reduction of the condition from above normal to normal, the reduction occurred.

C.1 THE MOTION PASSED UNANIMOUSLY ON A ROLL CALL VOTE AS FOLLOWS: YES – PHA, WEST-HAFNER, RUSSELL, JACOBSON, PARKS, MATA, LUNDE; NO – NONE.

**APPEAL #1**

City Assessor Bauer-Anderson briefed on Appeal #1, which had four properties. She stated the same property owner appealed all four valuations. She stated she would read them as a group and gave the recommendations.

- 3927 Globeflower Circle N., Original Value \$258,200 and the Assessor's recommendation to increase to \$267,600.
- 9749 Thomas Ave N., Original Value, \$246,100 and the Assessor's recommendation is no change.
- 7200 90<sup>th</sup> Ave N., Original Value, \$263,800 and the Assessor's recommendation is no change.
- 6409 88<sup>th</sup> Ave N., Original Value, \$283,700 and the Assessor's recommendation is no change.

C.1 MOTION LUNDE, SECOND WEST-HAFNER TO ACCEPT STAFF'S RECOMMENDATIONS FOR THE FOUR PROPERTIES.

Board Member Jacobson stated she assumed they were in a rental property situation and by a statement made earlier tonight, that income producing properties were asked to look into different things. She asked if it was just based on comps, rental property, looked at income and the make on that property.

City Assessor Bauer-Anderson stated in a highest and best use those would be sales comparisons and would be sold as residential property. She stated that although they were rental properties, they would not ask for income and review it; they would solely base it on a sale comparison approach.

Board Member Mata asked about 3927 Globeflower Circle N. He stated the owner contested their value and then they increased the value.

City Assessor Bauer-Anderson stated that was based on pulling sales data specific to the property. She stated he appealed those properties on date of local board, and they did not have a chance to review those properties with him prior to the local board. She stated between that and the reconvened board meeting, they did do a sales analysis on each one, and in that situation, the sales indicated an increase.

C.1 MOTION MATA TO AMEND THE MOTION TO USE THE ORIGINAL VALUE FOR 3927 GLOBEFLOWER CIRCLE NORTH AT \$258,200.

C.1 THE MOTION FAILS FOR A LACK OF A SECOND.

C.1 THE VOTE ON THE MAIN MOTION FOR APPEAL #1 PASSED UNANIMOUSLY ON A ROLL CALL VOTE AS FOLLOWS: YES – WEST-HAFNER, RUSSELL, JACOBSON, PARKS, MATA, PHA, LUNDE; NO – NONE.

### **APPEAL #2**

City Assessor Bauer-Anderson briefed on Appeal #2 and gave the recommendations.

- 2824 and 2828 79<sup>th</sup> Ave N., Original Value \$328,900 and the Assessor's recommendation is no change.

C.1 MOTION LUNDE, SECOND WEST-HAFNER TO ACCEPT STAFF'S RECOMMENDATION ON APPEAL #2.

C.1 THE VOTE ON THE MOTION FOR APPEAL #2 PASSED UNANIMOUSLY ON A ROLL CALL VOTE AS FOLLOWS: YES – RUSSELL, JACOBSON, PARKS, MATA, PHA, WEST-HAFNER, LUNDE. NO – NONE.

### **APPEAL #3**

City Assessor Bauer-Anderson briefed on Appeal #3 and gave the recommendations.

- 8301 93<sup>rd</sup> Avenue N., Original Value \$3,966,100 and the Assessor's recommendation is no change.

C.1 MOTION LUNDE, SECOND PARKS TO ACCEPT STAFF'S RECOMMENDATION ON APPEAL #3.

C.1 THE VOTE ON THE MOTION FOR APPEAL #3 PASSED UNANIMOUSLY ON A ROLL CALL VOTE AS FOLLOWS: YES – JACOBSON, PARKS, MATA, PHA, WEST-HAFNER,

RUSSELL, LUNDE. NO – NONE.

**APPEAL #4**

City Assessor Bauer-Anderson briefed on Appeal #4 and gave the recommendations. She stated the property owner would be addressing the Board.

- 9020 Dunbar Knoll Ct. N., Original Value \$358,800 and the Assessor's recommendation is no change.

Mr. Joseph Anderson, 9020 Dunbar Knoll Ct. N., addressed the Board. He stated he asked staff to give the Board additional information to consider regarding the properties. He stated he provided a property sale listing to support the statements. He stated he purchased the house in 2017 as a foreclosure. The only updates he made were to the master bath, second floor hall bath, and nothing else had been updated. He stated the basement hadn't been finished. The kitchen had original standard height cabinets, countertops, and all of the carpet was worn and needed replacement. He stated the windows were original, 23 years old. The windows had suffered water damage, needed to be replaced, and he replaced two small ones. He is having problems with the original furnace and air conditioning, the roof was shedding protective granules, and the shingles were at the end of useful life. He stated all major components should be considered to value the property.

On sale #1, 8957 Windsor Terrace North, as explained in the packet he originally provided, the MLS listing indicated zero days on the market and not exposed to the market and should be disregarded and not represent an arm's-length transaction. He stated the Assessor did not provide information regarding circumstances surrounding the sale to demonstrate it was an arm's-length sale transaction and was not appropriate to use as a comp. He stated that home had an updated kitchen, updated cabinets, updated counter tops, tile back splash, new roof, furnace, air conditioning, and dual water heaters. It had solid interior doors, panel wood floors, built in windows, cabinetry, dining room, and had a pond view and was superior to his home. He stated it had upgraded features compared to his house.

9024 Windsor Terrace North, that property was not presented to him as a comp and any other sale sheets provided to him. He stated the Assessor said that house did not have a basement finished. However, he was able to confirm through listing data and with an agent that home was with basement finished, including family room bedroom, office, three quarter bath and were no adjustments for those features. He stated in the grid provided by the Assessor, in addition to the basement finish, that home had upgraded features compared to his, including wood floor, solid wood interior doors, dining room, new roof and was also superior to his home.

He stated the sales presented to him, the Assessor did not present a sale at 2309 91<sup>st</sup> Crescent North. He stated he brought it up to the Assessor, but was not presented to him as a comp and any other sales sheets that had been provided to him prior to the board packet being received regarding his appeal. He stated that home was in similar design to his and did not have basement finish, had updated kitchen, granite counter tops, tile backsplash, a new roof in 2016, new siding in 2019, new windows in 2017, and was superior to his. He stated the packet he provided demonstrated the assessed value on his property was overstated.

He stated the sales that were most similar his home were on Berwick, Highlands and 91<sup>st</sup>

Crescent. He stated 3152 Berwick Knoll supported the value of \$335,900 with minimal basement finish, no noted recent updates. He stated there were two sales on that home and were not arm's-length transactions. He stated in the packet provided to the Board, he updated the second sale of the property on November 19, which was an arm's-length transaction most similar to his, indicated the value as \$324,430. He stated at 3501 Highlands, had no basement finish, similar to his, had a new roof, pond view, at \$344, 282 and was not reflected in Assessor's adjustments. He stated the homes on Berwick and Highland had the least amount of updates similar to his overall condition and the board should adjust his value accordingly.

C.1 MOTION LUNDE, SECOND PARKS TO ACCEPT STAFF'S RECOMMENDATION FOR APPEAL #4, 9020 DUNBAR KNOLL CT, \$358,700.

Board Member Parks stated that on the Board of Appeals and Equalization appeals listing form, on January 2, it was at \$384,500 and now it was valued at \$358,700. He asked why it went down by \$26,000.

City Assessor Bauer-Anderson stated when the property owner was called and asked to review his property record, they found there was an assumption that the basement had been finished. When the owner provided photos that indicated it was unfinished basement, the record was updated.

Board President Lunde stated the owner asked about the different sales comps used because they thought the basement was finished.

City Assessor Bauer-Anderson stated when the initial process was started, they would pull sales data with information based on what they had in their system, and through conversations they would update the record and then they would provide the information. She stated the property owner and Appraiser had email exchanges and additional things were considered through those conversations. She stated the Appraiser provided the best available sales taking into consideration the size of garage and additional things were taken into consideration, and the Appraiser put the analysis together with the best data available. She stated the two sales the property owner said, on 91<sup>st</sup> Crescent and Berwick Knoll, both were located in a different school district. She stated school districts could impact the values when homes are sold. She stated they were both in the Osseo school district where the comps the Appraiser used were located in the Anoka Hennepin school district.

Board Member Mata asked about the assumption of the basement being completed. He asked how many years that assumption went on because that was not something that got blanketed. He stated someone had to say that property was going to change and asked if they had a record of it because it could have been done incorrectly.

City Assessor Bauer-Anderson stated if a slip was left and they requested an interior inspection and the property owners were not responsive or if a property owner chose to complete additional square footage and not pull a permit, those were times when a record needed to be updated. She stated in this situation, because the previous owner was not responsive, it was added to the record when they were not allowed to do an interior inspection. When the owner called and the property was reviewed, the record was updated.

Board Member Mata stated that making an assumption because someone did not want

government to come into a house was a bad assumption. He stated if inspectors were making assumptions because they couldn't get in, he had a problem with it because it meant a large part of the city was overtaxed. He asked if any improvements were made to the house from the time it was purchased as a foreclosure.

City Assessor Bauer-Anderson stated the owner had shown pictures with bathroom updates and noted he had replaced a few windows.

Board Member Mata asked what the appraised value was for last year before January 1, because he would like to make an amendment to the motion to change the value to \$335,900 assuming that value was lower and might not be lower because of the possible finished basement.

C.1 MOTION MATA TO AMEND THE MOTION TO CHANGE THE VALUE TO \$335,900.

City Assessor Bauer-Anderson stated the value with the reduction was reduced to last year's value and was within \$300. She stated the 2019 value on the property was \$358,400; the recommendation was \$358,700.

C.1 THE AMENDMENT TO THE MAIN MOTION FAILED ON A LACK OF A SECOND.

C.1 THE VOTE ON THE MAIN MOTION PASSED ON A ROLL CALL VOTE AS FOLLOWS:  
YES – PARKS, PHA, WEST-HAFNER, RUSSELL, JACOBSON, LUNDE; NO – MATA

### **APPEAL #5**

City Assessor Bauer-Anderson stated Appeal #5 included two properties and gave the recommendations.

- 7710 Brooklyn Boulevard N., Original Value \$814,700 and Assessor's recommendation was no change.
- 7714 Brooklyn Boulevard N., Original Value \$589,600 and the Assessor's recommendation was no change.

C.1 MOTION LUNDE, WEST-HAFNER TO ACCEPT STAFF'S RECOMMENDATION FOR VALUES STATED ON THE ASSESSMENT.

Board Member Mata asked about the comparable property that was in the same corridor, which happened to be one building with the same square footage at 7420 Unity. He stated it was a building that had a sold value of \$34 per square foot on December 2019 and four months later, it was increased \$4 per square foot. He stated the property on Brooklyn Boulevard went from \$62 per square foot to \$67 per square foot. He stated that property, with the exception of it being two properties, was the same as far as how it was used and asked why the price per square foot calculation was doubled with another comp in the same area.

City Assessor Bauer-Anderson stated 7420 Unity Avenue was a sale that occurred and was not considered a market sale. She stated it was disqualified by the Department of Revenue guidelines. She stated the valuation process allowed appraisers to review sales in the city to ensure they were representative of market transactions and used guidelines set by Department

of Revenue. She stated they were ethically and legally bound to value properties based on market value, which would be market rents, market operating expenses and market vacancy. She stated that sale had below market rents, the condition of the building was inferior, operating expenses were higher than market and noted the market vacancy. She stated it was a disqualified sale and was used in the valuation of any office building within the city. If they were to consider doing so for 7710 and 7714 Brooklyn Boulevard, it would create inequity amongst the rest of the city in office buildings.

Board Member Mata asked if that was why 7420 Unity was up to the \$965,000.

Assessor Bauer-Anderson stated that value was based on taking into consideration the below rents, the higher vacancy, and the building was not currently considered stabilized. She stated when they valued 7710/7714 and other offices in the city, they used qualified market sales. She stated they bracketed those based on square footage for an overall cost per square foot. She stated the properties together were currently being marketed for sale and the listing was that the rent would be considered market, occupancy considered market, and the current list price was \$2,250,000, or \$101.73 per square foot. She stated it was an active listing and was not sold.

Board Member Mata asked if it was common practice to take active listings. He stated if he wanted to put his house on market for \$4 million, just because it was an active listing asked if that was going to affect what they were going to use to appraise his house. He stated that on a business, if he doubled the price of his business and sold it, even though they never got it because they could only take real numbers, a projected sale that never sold was not a real number.

Assessor Bauer-Anderson stated that was why they did not value it at \$101 per square foot. She stated they used market sales to support the valuation.

Board Member Mata asked if there were below rents in that building.

Assessor Bauer-Anderson stated no. She stated the listing indicated it, but they did not use his rents but just referenced it. She stated it did not indicate that he did not choose to provide any evidence or photos and they used market data to value his property.

Board Member Mata stated he did not agree with it. He recalled Huntington Pointe where they also sold their property for a lot more before the city ended up buying it and sold it higher. He stated 7710/7714 was a perfect parcel in the city that is being used as a type of an incubator and many of the Council Members had talked about it. He stated someone was creating a program and was happening in that building. He stated if wanted to charge \$101 per square foot of rent, or even what the city was assessing at \$67 per square foot, it would not happen there, and the city would end up buying it. He stated he would not vote for it.

Board Member Jacobson stated that building didn't have improvements. It was the same building, same people, and it went up 8% when commercials in the documents provided increased 2.3% and not 8%. She stated the market sales they were using were in cities like Edina, Shoreview, Hopkins and Plymouth. She stated when she looked at their pictures of buildings, it did not remind her of the two buildings they are discussing. She asked what the value was last year.

Assessor Bauer-Anderson stated the property owner referenced it in his write up and would have to verify it. She stated the owner said it was \$62 per square foot in 2019. She stated that the 2.3% increase commercial, that commercial encompassed many uses. She stated it was looking at it as a whole, such as a use for office, retail strip, big box, and they were all adjusted accordingly based on their use. She stated that even though the overall commercial went up 2.3% and individual uses such as office, by market sales, would indicate different increases and that was why there was a percentage difference.

Board Member Jacobson stated she knew it was hard to find comps and the other cities were Shakopee and Eagan and was a stretch to find comps. She stated she couldn't support that kind of an increase. She asked about the active listing if they took that into consideration. She stated it might never sell at that and questioned if that meant what it was worth.

Assessor Bauer-Anderson stated it was just to note that it was actively listed, and it was not taken into consideration for the valuation. She stated they used the best available data for all the office buildings and valued them accordingly. She stated that at \$67 per square foot, that would be a market rate they could support. She stated that \$101 was solely referenced that it was an active listing and until it sold, they had no idea what that would bring per square foot. She stated it was just to bring to the Board's attention for reference.

Board Member Jacobson stated the other concern was that property was an incubator in the city and didn't have one anywhere else in the city. She stated they could look at it playing an important role and thought about the increase in tax could be an increase in people's rents. She stated she thought about that part it made her even more uncomfortable.

C.1 THE VOTE ON THE MOTION PASSED ON A ROLL CALL VOTE AS FOLLOWS: YES – PHA, WEST-HAFNER, RUSSELL, JACOBSON, PARKS, LUNDE; NO – MATA.

### **APPEAL #6**

City Assessor Bauer-Anderson briefed on Appeal #6 and gave the recommendations.

- 9301 Winnetka Avenue N., Original Value \$17,222, 200 and the Assessor's recommendation is no change.

C.1 MOTION LUNDE, SECOND WEST-HAFNER TO ACCEPT STAFF'S RECOMMENDATION ON APPEAL #6.

Board Member Mata asked if there was a sister building at 9315 Winnetka and what the value was of that building. He stated they didn't have 9315 Winnetka and wasn't sure what they were comparing side by side.

Assessor Bauer-Anderson stated they were valued as a whole and had only one Parcel Identification Number and the buildings each had individual numbers. She stated the parcel identification number was 0711921440011 and it included 9301 and 9315 Winnetka Avenue and were valued as a whole.

C.1 THE VOTE ON THE MOTION PASSED UNANIMOUSLY ON A ROLL CALL VOTE AS FOLLOWS: YES – PHA WEST-HAFNER, RUSSELL, JACOBSON, PARKS, MATA, LUNDE;

NO – NONE.

**APPEAL #7**

City Assessor Bauer-Anderson briefed on Appeal #7 and gave the recommendations. She stated the property owner would address the Board.

- 7212 72<sup>nd</sup> Lane N., Unit 217, Original Value, \$63,500 and the Assessor's recommendation is no change.

Ms. Yelena Kurdyumova, 7212 72<sup>nd</sup> Lane N., Unit 217 addressed the Board. She disagreed with the Assessor's estimated on the market value her property. She stated that in 12 months, it was elevated from \$59,200 to \$63,500, by \$4,300, and the taxable market value grew by \$2,580. She stated the estimated market value should not exceed \$59,200 as it was determined for the year 2020. She stated when the calculated market value was estimated, it didn't take into consideration that taxes on condominiums and homes were artificial and didn't correspond to the life quality and home quality in the city. She stated her condominium was located at Strawberry Condominium Association. The slumlords bought homes to rent them out at higher prices without doing criminal background checks on their renters. She stated there was not a legitimate elected Board of Directors for the Strawberry Commons Association and had not met since 2009, and the slumlords were aware of it.

She stated the City Assessor refused to take into consideration the declining conditions of buildings and to common areas, and the increasing vandalism. She stated the high insurance rates grew by 2.6% in 12 months. She stated the assessors said those concerns should be addressed to the homeowner's association and to the police.

She stated the problems of the residents were the responsibility of city government because the city government hired the police and paid them with taxpayers' money. She stated they refused to deal with problems of the homeowner associations in the city, which turned the Strawberry Commons Association into ghettos. She stated since 2009, the slumlords forced over 50% of the homeowners to sell their condos and leave the Strawberry Commons Homeowners Association.

She stated the estimated market value compared information from the city assessor on garages and included hers as the same value, which meant when determining estimated market value, it wasn't taken into consideration that her garage door was smashed multiple times since 2014. She stated the police never charged the vandals for it and they were still unpunished and continued to smash her garage door. She stated her Homeowners insurance sent a letter that they might cancel the policy if there were two more claims within three years for charged damages. She stated the next damage to her property should be the responsibility of the city.

She stated at the April 13 Council meeting, the police chief expressed his concerns with growing crime in the city. He stated the use of weapons during the daytime had increased and meant the vandalism and crime in the Strawberry Commons Association would also increase and diminish the market value if her condominium. She stated the assessor didn't include comps from one property on the Strawberry Commons Association, which was sold for \$65,000 on April 22, 2020. She stated it had two bedrooms, one bath, 812 square feet at 7256 72<sup>nd</sup> Lane. She stated the price for \$65,000 was \$10,000 less than the same size comp from the Creek Side

Association assessed to her. She stated it was not considered with her condo and her value should not exceed \$59,200.

City Assessor Bauer-Anderson stated that any sales occurred in 2020 would be reflective for valuation for January 2, 2021. She stated if they referenced a sale that occurred on April 22, 2020, that would be something they would review for the 2021 assessment. She stated the Board could also look at the grid that was provided with comps 1, 2 and 3 and the adjustments that were made were minimal on all three comps.

C.1 MOTION LUNDE, SECOND PARKS TO ACCEPT STAFF'S RECOMMENDATION FOR THE VALUE OF \$63,500.

C.1 THE VOTE ON THE MOTION PASSED UNANIMOUSLY ON A ROLL CALL VOTE AS FOLLOWS: YES – WEST-HAFNER, RUSSELL, JACOBSON, PARKS, MATA, PHA, LUNDE. NO – NONE.

### **APPEAL #8**

City Assessor Bauer-Anderson briefed on Appeal #8 and gave the recommendations.

- 8801 Stratford Crossing, Original Value, \$522,500 and the Assessor's recommendation is reduction to \$475,200.

C.1 MOTION LUNDE, SECOND WEST-HAFNER TO ACCEPT STAFF'S RECOMMENDATION OF \$475,200. THE VOTE ON THE MOTION PASSED UNANIMOUSLY ON A ROLL CALL VOTE AS FOLLOWS: YES – RUSSELL, JACOBSON, PARKS, MATA, PHA, WEST-HAFNER, LUNDE; NO – NONE.

### **APPEAL #9 AND #10**

City Assessor Bauer-Anderson briefed on Appeal #9 and #10, same property owner, and gave the recommendations.

- 4517/4521 83<sup>rd</sup> Circle N., Original Value \$344,500 and the Assessor's recommendation is no change.
- 8931 Stratford Crossing N., Original Value \$633,600 and the Assessor's recommendation is no change.

C.1 MOTION LUNDE, SECOND WEST-HAFNER TO ACCEPT STAFF'S RECOMMENDATION OF APPEAL #9 AT \$344,500 AND APPEAL #10 AT \$633,600. THE VOTE ON THE MOTION PASSED UNANIMOUSLY ON A ROLL CALL VOTE AS FOLLOWS: YES – JACOBSON, PARKS, MATA, WEST-HAFNER, RUSSELL, LUNDE; NO – NONE.

### **APPEAL #11**

City Assessor Bauer-Anderson briefed on Appeal #11 and gave the recommendations.

- 8931 Ashley Terrace, Original Value \$380,000 and the Assessor's recommendation is a reduction to \$364,400.

C.1 MOTION LUNDE, SECOND PARKS TO ACCEPT STAFF'S RECOMMENDATION OF APPEAL #11 TO REDUCE TO \$364,400. THE VOTE ON THE MOTION PASSED UNANIMOUSLY ON A ROLL CALL VOTE AS FOLLOWS: YES – PARKS, MATA, PHA, WEST-HAFNER, RUSSELL, JACOBSON, LUNDE; NO – NONE.

### **APPEAL #13**

City Assessor Bauer-Anderson briefed on Appeal #13 and gave the recommendations.

- 90113 Tessman Parkway N. Original Value, \$332,400 and the Assessor's recommendation is a reduction to \$319,200.

C.1 MOTION LUNDE, SECOND WEST-HAFNER TO ACCEPT STAFF'S RECOMMENDATION ON APPEAL #13 WITH OF A REDUCTION TO \$319,200. THE VOTE ON THE MOTION PASSED UNANIMOUSLY ON A ROLL CALL VOTE AS FOLLOWS: YES – MATA, PHA, WEST-HAFNER, RUSSELL, JACOBSON, PARKS, LUNDE; NO – NONE.

### **APPEAL #16**

City Assessor Bauer-Anderson briefed on Appeal #16 and gave the recommendations.

- 6040 72<sup>nd</sup> Lane N., Original Value \$110,800 and the Assessor's recommendation is no change.

C.,1 MOTION LUNDE, SECOND WEST-HAFNER TO ACCEPT STAFF'S RECOMMENDATION OF \$110,800 FOR APPEAL #16. THE VOTE ON THE MOTION PASSED UNANIMOUSLY ON A ROLL CALL VOTE AS FOLLOWS: YES – PHA, WEST-HAFNER, RUSSELL, JACOBSON, PARKS, MATA, LUNDE; NO – NONE.

### **APPEAL #18**

City Assessor Bauer-Anderson briefed on Appeal #18 and gave the recommendations.

- 10740 Ziegler Drive, Original Value \$467,000 and the Assessor's recommendation is no change.

C.1 MOTION LUNDE, SECOND WEST-HAFNER TO ACCEPT STAFF'S RECOMMENDATION OF \$467,000 FOR APPEAL #18. THE VOTE ON THE MOTION PASSED UNANIMOUSLY ON A ROLL CALL VOTE AS FOLLOWS: YES – WEST-HAFNER, RUSSELL, JACOBSON, PARKS, MATA, PHA, LUNDE; NO – NONE.

### **APPEAL #19**

Assessor Bauer-Anderson stated they had an appeal that was filed recently and would be Appeal #19. She stated they were not able to provide a full analysis, nor was the property owner able to provide the requested information. She stated it would be read into the record as Appeal

#19 located at 8475 Xerxes Avenue N. and gave the recommendations.

- 8475 Xerxes Avenue N., Original Value \$379,200 and the Assessor's recommendation is no change

C.1 MOTION LUNDE, SECOND WEST-HAFNER TO ACCEPT STAFF'S RECOMMENDATION OF \$379,200 FOR APPEAL #19. THE VOTE ON THE MOTION PASSED UNANIMOUSLY ON A ROLL CALL VOTE AS FOLLOWS: YES – RUSSELL, JACOBSON, PARKS, MATA, PHA, WEST-HAFNER, LUNDE; NO – NONE.

City Assessor Bauer-Anderson stated they combined the Public Presentations and Board Actions with the Board taking actions on each individual parcel based on the recommendations.

#### E. ADJOURNMENT

At 8:31 p.m., Chair Lunde adjourned the Reconvened Board of Appeal and Equalization meeting.

---

JEFFREY JONEAL LUNDE, CHAIR

---

DEVIN MONTERO, CITY CLERK