

REGULAR BROOKLYN PARK CITY COUNCIL WORK SESSION

Monday, April 1, 2019
7:00 p.m. (Following the EDA Meeting)

Steve Lampi Meeting Room
5200 85th Avenue North

CALL TO ORDER – Mayor Pro Tem Susan Pha

PRESENT: Mayor Pro Tem Susan Pha; Council Members Terry Parks, Lisa Jacobson, Mark Mata, Wynfred Russell and Tonja West-Hafner; City Manager Jay Stroebel; Community Development Director Kim Berggren; Recreation and Parks Director Jody Yungers and City Clerk Devin Montero.

ABSENT: Mayor Jeffrey Lunde (Excused)

C. DISCUSSION ITEMS/GENERAL ACTION ITEMS:

C.1 Assessing Valuation Process Overview

City Assessor Tracy Bauer-Anderson briefed the Council on the purpose of the local board of appeals, when the evaluation was, and how market values were determined. She stated the Department of Revenue set assessment ranges between 90-105% of the market value; for assessing purposes, they used the mass appraisal. She stated the board could increase, reduce, sustain, add improvement, change the classifications, and/or add properties to the assessment roll.

She stated once the board reconvened and adjourn the meeting, the board could no longer make changes. She summarized the steps at the end of an assessment as well as the steps of an appeal and went over the assessment process. She stated currently they were conducting informal appeals.

Council Member West-Hafner asked if she had seen any pocket increases and used an example of a townhouse area.

City Assessor Bauer-Anderson stated they did have some increases in 1991, which was located above Oxbow that had strong sales and talked a lot to those homeowners about value changes. She mentioned Strawberry Commons and Stoneybrook had a strong rebound from their value decrease. She stated both went up 19% in sales increases and apartments continued to raise and they went up 16.7% across the county.

Council Member Mata asked if there was a shortage of houses for sale. He explained the influx of the market, inflation of value, and asked what inflation range Hennepin County uses.

City Assessor Bauer-Anderson stated Hennepin County tended to be at 95%, and the city was at 95.3% She stated the Department of Revenue set percentages off the trend.

Council Member Mata asked if a person couldn't argue their value unless the Assessing staff went into the house.

City Assessor Bauer-Anderson stated the assumption could be made on a finished area or the quality. She stated when they went through, and were right about the condition and then they

could make a change. She stated if someone refused to let the city in, then they couldn't lower or raise the value of the home.

Council Member Mata asked if the city went inside the homes they reduced last year because the board reduced it regardless of what was assessed.

City Assessor Bauer-Anderson stated that should not have happened and assumed they were all seen. She stated she did not recall anyone that denied them to go through.

Council Member Mata asked if Assessing looked at building permits.

City Assessor Bauer-Anderson stated the department did and with new construction of homes, they were looking at plans, specs, conditions and set a value. She stated often if they could not go through the houses and not everyone post permits, then the best time to revalue it was during a sale.

Council Member Mata stated Target got their property revalued and Target was the largest complex they had. He asked about what they had done to plan for the reduction of plans. He stated Target appealed their value and would like more information on how much the city was losing from the tax reduction. He asked what the dollar value of the reduction was and how far back did it go.

City Assessor Bauer-Anderson stated the reduction was 19% or \$24 million and Target had three PIDS. She stated big office complexes, and to value them on sales was difficult. She stated Target wanted it based on a dark store theory, which is, if a store goes dark, assessors should be assessing the property as vacant. She stated now assessors are supporting that there was more value than it being vacant. Hennepin County was joining together with cities and saying that recent settlements and big boxes had been about \$80 dollars a square foot and the same was true of the large corporate offices, which many had decreased in value. She stated had Target been an income-producing property, they would have the ability to use the approach to value and once the building got old, they could look at a cost approach.

Council Member Mata stated that was a huge hit, as they were planning the budget, He stated he needed to know those things. He stated he would like to see if the City Manager could put something in place that said how they were going to absorb that reduction.

City Manager Stroebel stated City Assessor Bauer-Anderson and the County were engaged in conversation with Target. He stated they had representatives of three Council Members, Bob Mata, Pha, and Mayor Lunde, who went to Hennepin County and the attorney's office. He stated losing \$24 million was significant because that put more burden on residential taxpayers.

Council Member Mata stated the county speaks for the city and didn't have a choice.

City Manager Stroebel stated that was a part of the debate, not just for the city but for the school district and the other jurisdictions of those tax dollars. He stated there was discussion to provide a summary to the rest of the Council that was present.

Council Member Mata stated they had a budget conversation in September, but the discussion needed to happen now. He stated it was a loss and homeowners were going to have to take on

the burden.

Mayor Pro Tem Pha asked of all the property owners who came to the appeal last year, what percentage of the actual appeals did they change the original assessment.

City Assessor Bauer-Anderson stated she would need to look up the information.

Council Member Mata asked if it was the value Mayor Pro Tem wanted.

Mayor Pro Tem Pha stated the assessment value, based on the appeals, she asked if it was significant or insignificant.

City Assessor Bauer-Anderson stated to look at the local board and the appeals and what was the percentage of reduction.

C.2 Update on Proposed Partnership with Osseo Area School District for Development of Two Synthetic Turf Fields/Lights and/or Fields/Lights and Dome at Park Center High School

Recreation and Parks Director Jody Yungers introduced Dan Williams, from the Brooklyn Park Athletic Association, and Ron Meyer, Executive Director of Finance and Operations of Osseo Schools, who attended the meeting. She summarized the two different projects and what each entailed. She stated after the passing of the bond referendum, they had decided how they would spend it depending on the polling. She stated that \$2.6 million would go toward court and field improvements. Recreation and Parks Director Yungers gave an overview of the Central Park partnership, the cost and the operating costs.

Recreation and Parks Director Yungers summarized the elements of a power agreement which was the city would pay for the lights, the dome support building, the restrooms, lobby, office space, any mechanical parts and the city would be responsible for the supervision. She stated the school would pay parking, landscaping and road reconfiguration as well as the maintenance of equipment turf. She stated the city and the school shared the costs of nets, stands, cages, operation costs, non-rental time, electricity and anything related to the programs within it. Recreation and Parks Director Yungers summarized how capital asset replacement would tie into the synthetic dome. She stated the school district would have the Operations and Maintenance staff onsite and the custodial staff and offered to maintain the facility, the dome, and the janitorial cleaning for the buildings as well as plowing, mowing, and irrigation around the building. She briefed the Council on the hours of the building and the responsible party and the split of hours between the school and the city.

Parks and Facilities Manager Brad Tullberg summarized the market analysis and outreach that was done. He reviewed five dome rentals in nearby cities, and described the different rental rates for each facility, the usual users of those facilities and the different organizations that use the facilities. Parks and Facilities Manager Tullberg reviewed the rates and hours of prime and non-prime hours. He walked through staffing of the facilities, supervision and the replacement and maintenance of the dome in the future.

Council Member Russell asked if the \$500,000 was inclusive of the \$3.3 million.

Recreation and Parks Director Yungers stated that would be inclusive if they just did the synthetic turf and the lights and would be looking at \$500,000.

City Manager Stroebel stated there was a community neighborhood meeting on Thursday.

Recreation and Parks Director Yungers stated the meeting would be a joint community engagement meeting with the school district. The community engagement staff had door knocked and shared flyers with the community. She stated they would discuss the relocation of the railways, the dome and the lights.

Council Member Jacobson asked about the joint powers agreement, where the dome was being maintained by the school district but charged to the operational budget, if that was the rate in the 51%.

Recreation and Parks Director Yungers stated the school was responsible for the facility maintenance, janitorial, operations and turf. If there was a mechanical issue, structurally, on the dome or building, the city would be responsible to pay for the repairs. She stated they had collected \$10,000 for the first year to bring up and down the dome.

Council Member Jacobson asked if each agency would be responsible for the supervision of the facility and gave an example of the kickers soccer being there, the school would be responsible.

Recreation and Parks Director Yungers stated that as a part of the rental fee, when outside groups came in, they would have the city provide a building supervisor. She stated when the school was in there, they would have a coach or someone from the school district to watch the building.

Council Member Jacobson asked if that was during the turf season.

Recreation and Parks Director Yungers stated the school district asked that the city have an onsite building supervisor whether that would be a coach or otherwise, they would have a staff person overseeing it.

Council Member Jacobson asked Recreation and Parks Director Yungers if she accounted for that extra person.

Recreation and Parks Director Yungers stated they had accounted for that extra person.

Parks and Facilities Manager Tullberg stated the budget during the turf season was including anything dome related, any rental fees from the turf of the supervision costs would not be included in the budget.

Council Member Jacobson stated when they talked about community programs, asked if they were planning to use it for their current park programs where they were already using a soccer field somewhere else, where they weren't necessarily paying for it, where now they would use up the sellable hours.

Recreation and Parks Director Yungers stated the majority of the time was in the summer where they had already talked to the coaches where they put on a clinic with the school district with the fields being used with the programs. She stated that meant the city would have 100% use, other than what they agreed with the coaches in the summer months and they had a meeting to figure out what the summer needs were.

Council Member Jacobson stated they didn't want to get used to using the facility if they could not rent it.

Parks and Facilities Manager Tullberg stated the use would be during non-prime time hours like days off from school.

Council Member Jacobson asked about the survey and didn't see a question about an hourly fee. She asked if they came up with the \$300.00 fee and the \$175.00 fee without testing the market on it.

Parks and Facilities Tullberg stated it was all based on what the other facilities were charging.

Council Member Jacobson asked if Maple Grove was charging more would those people come over to pay less and would they be paying themselves short by doing that.

Parks and Facilities Manager Tullberg stated something they needed to address was who was a priority and who was not. He stated the table in the packet showed the school district, community-ed users, then further down the road they would have some agreements in place with user groups like Maple Grove and OMEGA. He stated by having a developed priority list it would give residents access.

City Manager Stroebel stated they needed to know how much the capital replacement costs were and was good to hear the dome went down to \$1.5 million in cost. He stated they wanted to make sure the revenue was covering that and how much they were willing to absorb every year. He stated, on the question about if the \$300.00 was enough or did they need to charge more, thought they were pulling together a lot of information and they were working on the details.

Council Member Jacobson stated during the open dome time, she was interested in Brooklyn Park residents getting a lower price than the other individuals because they were already paying on it because of the Park Bond.

Recreation and Parks Director Yungers stated they had to be careful because the school district was a partner.

Council Member Mata said the school district was not a tax paying resident.

Recreation and Parks Director Yungers stated if they host it, then they could look at a resident and non-resident fee.

Council Member Jacobson stated having different rates during the open dome time, didn't have core partners, and BPAA was not at the level of interest like Maple Grove or Osseo.

Recreation and Parks Director Yungers asked Dan Williams, President of the Brooklyn Park Athletics Association (BPAA), about the programs and interest.

Brooklyn Park Athletics Association President Dan Williams stated they had programs comparable to Maple Grove and Osseo, but Brooklyn Park lost a lot of the kids to Champlin, Osseo, and Maple Grove. He stated it was because it was where they could play and the level they could play and that made them three times bigger than BPAA. He stated those kids went somewhere else and his biggest concern was that five sports would use it, but they had in house programs. He stated the kids would like to use the facility, but to have them pay an extra \$300.00 an hour was difficult. He stated he would love the opportunity to provide that as a piece to their program. He stated another thing to work with was the diversity in the city and if they didn't bring the opportunity to them, they wouldn't be able to do the programs. He stated it was a phenomenal opportunity and the programs should be economical. He stated his level of commitment wasn't based on if they would use it or the affordability, it was not knowing the degree he could commit as the same level as he had committed to Osseo and Maple Grove.

Council Member Mata asked if they still went to Hamline University for baseball tryouts.

Brooklyn Park Athletics Association President Williams stated they went to Maple Grove for tryouts.

Council Member Mata asked how much they paid an hour.

Brooklyn Park Athletics Association President Williams stated he didn't know, but it was a big number and that would all come back to the city. He stated he would find out and share the information with the Council.

Council Member Mata stated BPAA tried to do baseball tryouts in house, and then moved to Hamline University. He stated he was doing the calculation and the project did not add up correctly and asked for clarification.

Recreation and Parks Director Yungers stated the city would have the dome for the most part other than special requests, makeup games, or events.

Council Member Mata stated they could say no, then they needed to pay for it at that point. He stated the supervision that Council Member Jacobson was talking about asked if he had an event there, the city was paying for a supervisor to be there. During school time, the school was going to have a teacher or a coach. He asked who's supervised the school.

Recreation and Parks Director Yungers stated a teacher had a higher level of responsibility and is trained to do CPR. She stated when they had coaches there, the city would make sure when they were opening and closing the facility that they knew how to address emergency issues.

Council Member Mata stated if they were leaving the supervision to the teacher, they didn't know the level of responsibility.

Recreation and Parks Director Yungers stated the school was responsible and it was their liability.

Council Member Mata asked if there was damage while they were there, would the city be responsible to pay for the damages.

Recreation and Parks Director Yungers stated if the city was to go at it alone, the city would be talking about a \$5.5-million project. She stated they had a partner that was paying half of the utility bill and it ran 24/7. She stated they were using the facility while the children were in school, and they couldn't sell that time.

Council Member Mata stated he was elected in two school districts and would be paying a lot for one school district. He stated he was spending a lot of money for one and not the other. He stated that Recreation and Parks Director Yungers should look at the amount of kids in both districts and would like to see money for both.

City Manager Stroebel stated Council Member Mata asked about the number of hours the city would have access to the dome; and was about 4,070 hours a year. He stated those hours being between prime and non-prime at 85% of the hours. He explained why the numbers did not match for Council Member Mata and that was because Recreation and Parks Director Yungers and Parks and Facilities Manager Tullberg did conservative estimates.

Council Member West-Hafner asked for clarification if the school district owned the Maple Grove dome turf.

Parks and Facilities Manager Tullberg stated the City of Maple Grove operated the dome and was built under similar circumstances.

Council Member West-Hafner asked if they were splitting the utilities 40% or 51%. She asked if the pricing on the dome was based on the same type of dome and was that the best kind.

Parks and Facilities Manager Tullberg stated it was the better solution to not take a dome up and down, then the price tag doubled or tripled. He stated the dome was based on a purchasing contract and they could get a guaranteed pricing.

Council Member West-Hafner stated the school district would be doing the turf fields, and if the city decided to do just the lights, asked if they would have access. She stated to do it, the city would take a large portion of the budget to do the dome and then not do the hometown park.

Recreation and Parks Director Yungers recommended to appropriate \$1.5 million to the home townhome field as a commitment to the community and the remainder of the appropriation was \$1.1 million. She stated in the park reinvestment, included fields and court, and could take the \$13.1 million. She believed in the development of Lakeland Park and Hartkopf Park, which the department would need \$7.1 million and the need for Willowstone, Monroe and Norwood Park, which was approximately \$1.4 million. She stated the remaining amount, \$4 million was the unknown of the picnicking near the SEA park. She stated the department was waiting to see what the light rail project would do and how to reorganize all the roadways and then they would redevelop it, and that was where they had some flexibility.

Council Member West-Hafner stated she would not like to see the city give up too much and have a large portion of responsibilities.

Council Member Parks stated he was looking forward to having a space for tryouts and asked if there was going to be a damage deposit fee.

Parks and Facilities Manager Tullberg stated there was not going to be a damage deposit fee.

Council Member Parks suggested a fund for graffiti damage and asked if there was an opportunity for solar energy. He stated 5:30 p.m. to 9:30 p.m. was the city's time and wanted to clarify if a group could bump other groups. He asked if that space was for sports only or for groups such as churches.

Recreation and Parks Director Yungers stated that on an emergency basis they would bump another group and churches would not be able to use the space unless they were playing a sport.

Council Member Parks stated he was excited about the project but concerned about adding two more fields with a lot more activities and not adding any parking.

Recreation and Parks Director Yungers stated there would be some parking on the side of the dome and would bring that forward.

Mayor Pro Tem Pha suggested increasing the fee to something comparable to Maple Grove, for residents to keep it at \$300, and for youth and senior groups to have a reduced fee. She stated she would like to see the affordability so there would not be an access barrier. She proposed a residents and non-resident fees to be different and stated if it was a true partnership with Osseo and Brooklyn Park, then it should be 50/50.

Council Member Parks asked if they did a reduced fee for residents, then clubs or groups with a person from Brooklyn Park would reserve it, but the team would be from other cities.

Council Member Jacobson suggested that non-resident and resident fees would be applied during open field time. She stated they would charge the individual who was a resident at that time a lower rate. She stated it would be too much with club teams if they were to do it with large fees.

Mayor Pro Tem Pha agreed and asked what the Council thought about the 50/50. She stated she did not want to see more money come from the park bond than necessary.

Council Member West Hafner asked if the school district was contributing to the dome costs.

Recreation and Parks Director Yungers stated they were and they were looking for Council's direction on it.

Council Member Parks suggested bringing in more partners.

City Manager Stroebel stated he had a conversation with Hennepin County Commissioner Michael Opat about the potential of the Hennepin Use Sport Grants. He stated the timing was usually in the fall and winter time when it was awarded. He stated the school district did request some of those funds last year for the turf and did not get them. He stated there might be more

interest in it because there was more than one group coming together and the grant was \$250,000.

Recreation and Parks Director Yungers stated they had an opportunity to go for equipment grants and would look at what was available.

Council Member Russell asked if it was possible to go to the school district rather than renegotiate how much money they were going to bring to the table to ensure the city could get some sort of 50/50.

Recreation and Parks Director Yungers asked for clarification if they went back to the school district, they were talking about 50/50 share of the synthetic turf, the building, and the dome 50/50. She stated not 50% of the dome, and they had already given \$2.1 million and would be a different number if they figured that way.

Council Member Russell stated at least close to the allotment, so they didn't go over \$2.6 million.

Mayor Pro Tem Pha asked if they need any other clarifications.

Recreation and Parks Director Yungers summarized the conversation explaining they would bring the ideas discussed to the school district. She stated they would bring back the final joint power's agreement to the Council.

Mayor Pro Tem Pha asked if they had to meet about the topic or would it be after they met with the school district.

Executive Director of Finance and Operations of Osseo District Ron Meyer stated they had a work session meeting on the topic. He explained they walked through both options and would have a future work session.

C.3 Transportation 101 Workshop

City Transportation Engineer Jeff Holstein briefed the Council on the roadway systems, traffic volumes and the forecasts, level of service the city provides, and the traffic impact studies. The roadway systems include local, collector, minor arterial, and principal arterial streets. Traffic volumes include daily traffic, hourly traffic, average hour usages, lifetime of the roadway or intersection and a long-range traffic forecast. Roadway functions would be the capacity, level of service, the design and the development. Traffic impact studies would be an estimate of traffic impacts, land use, and the land use type and intensity which comes from the city's comprehensive plan and development proposals. He went more in-depth about the average daily vehicular traffic demand (ADT). He stated when designing a roadway, it was typically designed for the 30-50th highest hours of the year. He stated design analysis would be based on a 20-year design lifetime and trying to forecast the AM/PM hours in 20 years from now.

Council Member Mata asked how traffic was determined when putting in a school.

City Transportation Engineer Holstein stated every land use was contained in a manual.

Council Member Mata stated Riverview Elementary School as an example, the school, which was a community school and changed to a magnet school, where now different city residents would be driving their individual children there. He asked how that changed the traffic.

City Transportation Engineer Holstein stated they would look at what it was the generation before, who drove, who walked and how many buses were used. He stated they then would check with the manual, and would go out to find similar types of schools that had converted in the metro, and they would adjust.

Council Member Mata stated that Monroe elementary school, had one road between the people going to school and then those who were going to Highway 252.

City Transportation Engineer Holstein stated they had a very small postage stamp type of site and that was why they were talking to the school district to have an access on the backway for the buses.

Council Member Mata stated that before they went to the big entities to make changes, using a STEM school as an example, it needed to be approved by the city. He stated the city needed to go look at how they went in and out of the building.

City Transportation Engineer Holstein stated they had been working with Monroe Elementary School for years and trying them to ascertain the best way to deal with traffic. He explained the level of service and the grading scale, A being the best and F being the worst. He stated those designing roads in the metro aimed to design it around D traffic conditions

Mayor Pro Tem Pha asked what roadways were at level E or F.

Transportation Engineer Holstein stated he couldn't name them all and that would be a high-level analysis and would need to pull up the transportation plan that was based on today's volumes and ADT capacities. He stated they could look at the roads that had level E and F conditions.

City Manager Stroebel asked it if was fair to say they used that information as well as traffic accident information to identify priority intersections and corridors for the capital improvement plan.

Transportation Engineer Holstein stated they used that information to identify priority sections and corridors for the capital improvement plan.

Council Member Mata asked why the city had level Es and Fs. He stated once they reached an E, asked why they didn't place funding for those roadways so they wouldn't become an F. He stated if they built to what was acceptable, that was worst case at that time. He stated they were not city roads and could be state roads.

Transportation Engineer Holstein explained what was happening was the designs were twenty years out. He stated on the city's roadways, twenty years out, they would need a three-way driveway, but up to fifteen years, there were no problems. Then in twenty years, they could look at it again. He stated at that time, when they start allocating the funding and planning for it. He stated they only had so much money and needed to base it on capacity, safety, and

development, which was why they did traffic impact studies that told the developers and them, they needed them to pay for roadway improvements. He stated the city couldn't change all the Es and Fs, and most of the roadways were not bad and the county roadways were not that bad. He stated in 1993 the community was at 73,000 residents and now was at 98,000 residents. He defined the traffic impact studies, went over peak hours of different areas of the city, tied the land use with the traffic impact and went over trip generation and the traffic forecasts.

City Manager Stroebel stated City Transportation Engineer Holstein's approach was an impartial neutral role and didn't care if a development went in or went out, but they did get some of those traffic studies from developers that hired traffic engineers and had assessed the area. He asked if the developer could be skewing numbers.

Transportation Engineer Holstein stated the city didn't hire the traffic consultant, the developer did.

City Manager Stroebel thought it was important for the Council to know that City Transportation Engineer Holstein reviewed what was presented for development proposals to gauge if they were bending the truth.

Transportation Engineer Holstein stated he had been known to go through some proposals with a red pen and go through the drafts. The county and MnDOT did the same thing and then the review process was summarized.

Council Member West Hafner asked how the county agencies got involved.

City Transportation Engineer Holstein stated the county agency was involved in key assumptions and in the review.

City Engineer Struve stated those things didn't come back to the Council until they had reviewed it and okay with it. He stated if they were not okay with it, then they would see something different. He stated the last thing the developer wanted in the city, county or state would be questioning it because ultimately it must be approved by the Metropolitan Council. He stated if the MET Council saw an objection from any of those agencies, then they were not going to approve it.

Council Member Mata shared his concerns about multiple areas in Brooklyn Park and the traffic issues.

Transportation Engineer Holstein stated the concerns Council Member Mata had were being looked at.

Council Member Mata asked if they could ask new developers who came in the city to make their standards for that area a C standard.

Transportation Engineer Holstein stated they didn't have the most updated manual, but for the standards, they knew that area was accepted at a D standard and needed a new set of standards.

D.1 COUNCIL MEMBER REPORTS AND ANNOUNCEMENTS

Council Member Mata thanked the city staff for removing mold the best they could from the corner of the window until it was replaced.

D.2 CITY MANAGER REPORTS AND ANNOUNCEMENTS

City Manager Stroebel gave the following report on events:

- Thursday, Employee State of the City Event, 10:00 a.m. to 11:30 a.m., North Hennepin Community College.
- Council Staff Leadership Retreat, 5th Monday of April, 4:00 p.m. to 8:30 pm.
- Commission Orientation was on Wednesday at 5:15 p.m., Community Activity Center.

Council Member Mata asked if the orientation was for someone who was brand new or would Commissioners who went through it and moved from a different commission needed to attend.

City Manager Stroebel stated any new and returning Commission members would participate because they wanted additional opportunities for team members to connect. He stated they would be providing additional guidance around new Commission Code of Conduct guidelines the Council just adopted.

Council Member Mata asked if he could pass that information through the staff liaisons.

City Manager Stroebel stated he would provide that information to them. He went over the next month of events:

- Youth in Government Day is April 22, 2019.
- Fire Strategic Plan Brief is May 13, 2019.
- Blue Line Connect the Line Now, went well.

City Manager Stroebel stated one of the elements that they didn't include in the Park Center dome/field project was the cost estimate of a new road they wanted to add to Regent Avenue. He stated the question was, should the city ask the school district to pay 50/50 on the road. He stated they did not know how much it was going to cost to add the new road to the parking lot.

Mayor Pro Tem Pha stated it was important to add because the roads were very easy to put in but a second access point for that parking lot would be a good idea.

Council Member West-Hafner stated she did not think that was a good idea because of the pedestrians between the football field and the soccer field.

Council Member Parks stated they were going to be competing with the people walking too.

Council Member Mata stated they wanted to put the dome in there and hold events and they were not expanding the parking lot.

Council Member West-Hafner suggested placing parking by the baseball fields, tennis courts or the horseshoe area, and there was space for it.

City Manager Stroebel stated he would follow up with City Transportation Engineer Holstein about the parking issues and the safety of pedestrians.

E. ADJOURNMENT

ADJOURNMENT – With consensus of the Council, Mayor Pro Tem Pha adjourned the meeting at 10:23 p.m.

SUSAN PHA, MAYOR PRO TEM

DEVIN MONTERO, CITY CLERK